Public health events of initially unknown etiology: A framework for preparedness and response in the African Region







WHO African Region Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Public health events of initially unknown etiology: A framework for preparedness and response in the African Region

- Root cause analysis _ methods _ organization and administration
- 2. Disease surveillance
- 3. Disease outbreaks _ etiology
- 4. Clinical laboratory techniques
- 5. Public health

ISBN: 978 929 023 2476 (NLM Classification: WA 105)

© WHO Regional Office for Africa, 2014

Publications of the World Health Organization enjoy copyright protection in accordance with the provisions of Protocol 2 of the Universal Copyright Convention. All rights reserved. Copies of this publication may be obtained from the Library, WHO Regional Office for Africa, P.O. Box 6, Brazzaville, Republic of the Congo (Tel: +47 241 39100; +242 06 5081114; Fax: +47 241 39501; E-mail: afrobooks@afro.who.int). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate this publication _ whether for sale or for non-commercial distribution _ should be sent to the same address.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either express or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization or its Regional Office for Africa be liable for damages arising from its use.

Design: emkidesign/ Comstone Printed by WHO Document Production Services, Geneva, Switzerland

Acknowledgements

Contributors to the development of this framework include Dr Nathan Bakyaita, Dr David Brett-Major, Dr Dennis Carroll, Mr Pat Drury, Dr Maxwell Charles Hardiman, Mr Derek Hardy, Dr Myriam Henkens, Mr Rob Duane Henry, Dr Helge Gottfried Hollmeyer, Dr Stéphane Hugonnet, Dr Benido Impouma, Dr Francis Chisaka Kasolo, Dr F Tshioko Kweteminga, Ms Lisa Kramer, Dr Vital Mondonge Makuma, Dr Joel Montgomery, Ms Margaret Morehouse, Dr Miriam Nanyunja, Dr Charles Okot, Dr Adrienne Rashford, Dr Paul Roddy, Dr Jean Baptiste Roungou, Mr Yaya Duwa Sanyang, Ms Linda Spink, Mrs Sameera Suri, Mrs Senait Tekeste, Dr Ali Ahmed Yahaya and Dr Zabulon Yoti.

Development of this framework would not have been possible without the important contributions of the public health leaders and subject-matter experts who participated in the beta-testing workshops held in Uganda and the Democratic Republic of Congo during 2013. Their names are lined in Annex 8.

Final editing of this document was undertaken by the Framework Technical Review Group, whose members include Dr Tshioko Florimond and Dr Benido Impouma from the WHO Regional Office for Africa, Ms Lisa Kramer from USAID, Ms Helen Petrozzola of USAID/EPT/RESPOND/ TRG and Douglas Hatch, MD, from USAID/EPT/ RESPOND/DAI.

CONTENT

6	1. Introduction
6	l. PHEs of initially unknown etiology
6	2. Categorization of PHEs of initially
7	3. Mapping of PHEs of initially unkno
7	Fig. 1 - Distribution of PHEs i
8	4. PHEs and the One Health approact
8	5. Aims and target audience of this fr
8	6. IDSR and the PHE framework
9	Table 1 - IDSR Technical Guid
9	7. The three phases of the PHE prepa
10	Fig. 2 - The three phases of t
11	2. Country-level structures for effe
11	l. Emergency management committe
11	Fig. 3 - Structure of a typical
12	2. Rapid response team
14	3. Implementing the three phases
14	Phase I: Preparedness
18	Phase II: Response
18	l. Stage l: alert management
19	Box 1 - Alert criteria useful to
	investigation of a PHE
20	Fig. 4 - Alert reporting and a
	information flow
21	Fig. 5 - Stage l _ Alert manage
22	2. Stage 2: field investigation
22	Fig. 6 - Stage 2 _ Field invest
25	3. Stage 3: field response
25	Fig. 7 - Stage 3 _ Field respo
26	Fig. 8 - Field response comp
30	Phase III - Monitoring and evaluation
31	References
33	Annexes
33	Annex 1 - IDSR core functions and ac
40	Annex 2 - Institutional RRT members
48	Annex 3 - Preparedness capacity inc
48	Annex 4 - Alert management indicat
49	Annex 5 - Field investigation indicat
50	Annex 6 - Field response indicators
51	Annex 7 - A field investigation repor
54	Annex 8 - Participants in WHO Afric

y y unknown etiology nown etiology in the WHO African Region in 2012 ch framework

delines compared with the PHE framework paredness and response framework the framework

ective PHE management

l EMC

of the framework

o determine whether to initiate a field

assessment, and PHE management

ement activities (5 steps)

tigation activities (10 steps)

onse activities (3 steps) ponents on (M&E)

activities by health system level rs' minimum core skills and responsibilities adicators ators ators tors rt template can Region beta-testing workshops

ACRONYMS

- **EMC** Epidemic Management Committee
- IDSR Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response
- IEC information, education, communication
- IHR International Health Regulations
- **IPC** infection prevention and control
- M&E monitoring and evaluation
- **PHE** public health event
- **PPE** personal protective equipment
- **RRT** rapid response team
- WHO World Health Organization

Following the adoption of the WHO African Region's Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response (IDSR) Strategy in 1998 (1) and the International Health Regulations (IHR) in 2005 (2), the ministries of health in the WHO African Region committed to work collaboratively to minimize the effects of public health events (PHEs) on human health, livelihood, travel and commercial by improving preparedness, trade surveillance systems and response capacity for PHEs (1, 2). The WHO African Regional Office and its partners remain committed to implementation of IDSR and IHR in the Member States and to supporting the use of accurate and timely health information to guide decision-making during PHEs, including emergencies, whether of infectious or non-infectious etiology.

Although technical guidelines are available for Member States to strengthen the response to PHEs with disease-specific etiology such as yellow fever, cholera and anthrax, there is a dearth of guidance on appropriate steps in the early phases of detection, reporting, alert management, field investigation and response for PHEs of initially unknown etiology. To address that issue, the WHO Regional Office for Africa has worked closely with public health leaders and subject-matter experts in the Region and partner organizations to draw up and design this framework to serve as a concise and easy-to-use technical and managerial guide for senior level decisionmakers and members of national emergency

PREFACE

management committees (EMCs) and rapid response teams (RRTs) in Member States.

This framework is designed to supplement the more detailed information contained in the IDSR Technical Guidelines (3) and to enhance country-level emergency preparedness and response capacity, including capability to manage alerts of PHEs before they become public health threats of national, regional or international importance. The framework also aims to improve understanding of the process of assessing the credibility of an alert by an EMC and to strengthen the capacity to organize and deploy a well-qualified and equipped RRT to conduct a field investigation. The framework underscores the importance of monitoring and evaluation (M&E) during this process, as well as of using M&E findings, including those from after-action reviews (AARs) to strengthen preparedness and response capacity of Member States.

In accordance with IHR (2) and the IDSR Regional Strategy (1), the components of this framework are grouped into three phases: preparedness, response, and monitoring and evaluation.

We advise that this framework be read alongside the relevant sections of the IDSR Technical Guidelines (3) and the technical documents and scientific articles cited herein.

Fig. 1 – Distribution of PHEs in the WHO African Region in 2012. Source: WHO EMS.

INTRODUCTION

1. PHEs of initially unknown etiology

A public health event (PHE) is defined as any occurrence that may have negative consequences for human health, including those that have not yet caused disease or illness but that have potential and those that may require a coordinated response (2, 4). This framework focuses on PHEs of initially unknown etiology, which are PHEs for which the cause has not yet been determined. For such events, the One Health approach (5) is recommended, where the ministry of health works in close collaboration with other ministries and multisectoral partners to enhance teamwork and improve efficiencies in preparedness, response, and monitoring and evaluation (M&E).

2. Categorization of PHEs of initially unknown etiology

Between 2000 and 2012, the ministries of health in the WHO African Region identified a mean of 100 PHEs annually (see the WHO EMS¹). The majority of those occurred in areas characterized by poverty, armed conflict and/ or suboptimal health care delivery or access (5). Typically, during its alert management stage, a PHE is initially categorized as being of unknown etiology. Once there is laboratory confirmation of the cause of illness, the PHE can be categorized as infectious or noninfectious, with infectious events further classified as zoonotic or non-zoonotic.

3. Mapping of PHEs of initially unknown etiology

Fig. 1 presents a map on the distribution of PHEs identified in the WHO African Region during 2012. All the PHEs were initially classified during the alert management stage as being of unknown etiology. PHEs in the Region reported to the EMS during 2011 and 2012 were categorized under the following groups, listed is in order of decreasing frequency and magnitude:

- infectious disease outbreaks
- · moderate or severe malnutrition events
- · outbreaks of undetermined etiology
- natural and human-caused disasters
- animal disease outbreaks
- toxins and chemical exposures

Examples of PHEs from non-infectious toxins and chemical exposure include food, water and environmental contamination; exposure of animals to toxic chemicals; chemical hazards; and radiation or nuclear incidents.



The rapid growth in industrial activity in sub-Saharan Africa, including exploration for fossil fuels and mining, is increasingly exposing communities to non-infectious health risks (5). Examples of such exposures on the continent include lead (Pb) toxicity poisoning in young children involved in artisanal gold mining in Nigeria during 2010 (6) and contamination of a river system in South Africa by mercury (Hg) from an industrial processing plant in 2011 (7). Like some non-infectious PHEs, infectious PHEs _ which include zoonotic diseases and foodborne or waterborne illnesses such as cholera, shigellosis, salmonellosis and amoebiasis (10, 11) - often traverse geopolitical boundaries (8, 9). In both 2011 and 2012, aside from Vibrio cholerae, which accounted for approximately 30% of confirmed PHEs, an estimated 24% of confirmed infectious disease outbreaks were zoonotic (see WHO EMS). Other important PHEs related to infectious diseases recently identified in the African Region and reported via ProMED Mail² and WHO EMS were due to avian and pandemic meningococcal influenza, meningitis, anthrax, measles, acute poliomyelitis, yellow fever, malaria, dysentery, plague, dengue, or the Ebola, Marburg, Crimean-Congo, Lassa and Rift Valley viral haemorrhagic fevers.

4. PHEs and the One Health approach

Scientific and public health experts agree that the majority of infectious agents identified as causes of human illness in recent decades originated in domesticated animals or wildlife, such as SARS, the highly pathogenic avian influenza, Ebola and Marburg (12, 13). The importance of zoonotic diseases in the Region reinforces the logic for using the One Health multisectoral approach to evaluate PHEs. Multisectoral national teams of professionals working together on the diseases involving the animal, human and ecosystem interface can strengthen efficiencies by sharing important and timely health information from their respective surveillance systems and working collaboratively in the field. This type of coordination and teamwork can lead to better understanding of the epidemiology of emerging or re-emerging diseases, as well as identify unknown modes of transmission, elements that will improve the efficiency of disease prevention and control efforts (14).

5. Aims and target audience of this framework

The overall aim of this framework is to minimize human morbidity and mortality associated with PHEs by:

- providing the ministries of health in the WHO African Region with technical and managerial guidance for early and effective preparedness for and response to PHEs;
- improving PHE preparedness and response systems;
- strengthening multisectoral and multidisciplinary communication and coordination; and
- complementing the IDSR Technical Guidelines (3).

The specific objectives of the framework are to:

- describe the core functions and responsibilities of country-level structures that is, the EMC and the RRT - for effective PHE management;
- call attention to the critical importance of preparedness activities and methods to enhance response capacity;
- outline the step-by-step PHE response activities; and
- provide key indicators for M&E of national preparedness and response activities.

The target audience for this framework includes:

- · senior policy-makers and decision-makers;
- EMC leadership and members; and
- RRT leadership and members.

6. IDSR and the PHE framework

More than 10 years ago, the WHO Regional Office for Africa and WHO Member States in the Region, along with their technical partners, adopted a strategy for developing and implementing comprehensive public health surveillance and response systems in the Region. To highlight the essential link between surveillance and response, the subsequent document, which is referred to as the "IDSR Technical Guidelines" (3), in short, was widely adopted and adapted throughout the African Region. Since the adoption of the IDSR Technical Guidelines and its revision in 2010, significant progress has been made by Member States in preparing for and responding to public health events.

Based on the challenges and lessons learned in dealing with PHEs in the Region, the WHO AfricanRegionalOfficeandpartnersdeveloped

Table 1– IDSR Technical Guidelines compared with

Component	IDSR Technical Guidelines	Framework
Level of government:		
National	♦	+
District	+	◊
Preparedness	++	+
Response:		
Alert management	-	+
Field investigations	+	+
Field Response:		
Unknown etiology	♦	+
Known etiology	+	-
M&E	++	+
Purpose of document:	Comprehensive guidelines describing the steps for organizing preparedness activities in the district. Emphasis is on 40 well- understood priority diseases and conditions in the African Region (see <u>Annex 1</u> for skills, activities and tasks relevant to each level in a public health surveillance and response system).	Practical guide on preparedness for PHE detection and response in its earliest phase, prior to determination of its etiology.

*Note: + = Emphasized in document \land = Not highly emphasized - = Not applicable

In order to achieve the maximum benefit of this Framework, EMC members and stakeholders are encouraged to consider the flow of disease surveillance information in their country, including from formal and informal sources as well as from the this new framework for preparedness and response, and beta-tested it in Uganda and the Democratic Republic of Congo in 2013. This framework, which aligns with IHR and IDSR requirements, is designed to clarify issues relating to management of alerts, the role of the EMC in preparedness, the importance of real-time communication among entities participating in the EMC, and the critical aspects of the preparedness phase. Table 1 shows the key topics contained in the IDSR Technical Guidelines related to strengthening of PHE preparedness and response capacity at the district level, compared with those of this framework.

the PHE framework	the	PHE	fram	ework
-------------------	-----	-----	------	-------

private health sector and industry. Then, ministries responsible for the data can enhance appropriate strategies and methods to promptly identify alerts and manage the response in coordination with the EMC.

7. The three phases of the framework

PHEs often evolve quickly and unexpectedly and may involve novel infectious agents or previously unknown modes of transmission. Therefore, Member States are encouraged to establish a strong foundation for preparedness to be able to deal as promptly and as effectively as possible with these threats. Additionally, response is often complex, requiring well-informed planning, decisionmaking and multisectoral collaboration. M&E plays a critically important role in this process, enabling the lessons learnt from previous field investigations to be applied to address identified gaps, thereby enhancing preparedness (Phase I) and future response activities (Phase II). In line with IHR (2) and the IDSR regional strategy (1), this framework categorizes these activities into three phases as shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 – The three phases of the framework



COUNTRY-LEVEL STRUCTURES FOR EFFECTIVE PHE MANAGEMENT

Although not a specific phase, it is imperative that the core functions and responsibilities required for realizing early and effective preparedness for, response to, and M&E of a PHE be handled by the country-level coordinating structures and individuals who serve as institutionally supported RRT members (see Annex 2). This section describes the roles and responsibilities of the EMC and RRT.

Consistent with IDSR and IHR specifications, the WHO African Region, together with Member States, identified key management and institutional structures with the primary responsibility for PHE preparedness and response, and to enable, plan, manage, and monitor and evaluate related activities, as defined below.

Fig. 3 – Structure of a typical EMC ³



1. Emergency Management Committee

The EMC is designed to be a multisectoral platform for coordinating inputs from the national health agencies responsible for human, domesticated animal, environmental and wildlife health for collaborative assessment of credibility of reports of PHEs of potential national importance. The Member States are responsible for developing the legal framework, policies and actions to establish EMCs, permitting mobilization of appropriate resources, allocating budgets and authorizing disbursement of funds for preparedness and response activities. Fig 3 shows an example of the structure of a typical EMC.

The EMC is a formal government body that includes senior technical and health management representatives officially responsible for preparedness, response and M&E activities related to PHEs of national importance. Members are formally appointed and, in line with the One Health approach, should include representatives from the ministry of health and the ministries responsible for livestock, wildlife and environmental health; national laboratories; and other agencies as required, such as the police, military and civil aviation, as well as national and international health organizations and development partners including WHO.

Based on the IDSR Technical Guidelines, the core functions and responsibilities of the EMC include to:

- develop a formal national PHE preparedness and response plan;
- develop and implement a formal emergency communication plan that covers procedures for sharing the latest information on PHEs among the EMC, the RRT and other government agencies and partners and informing and educating the public on PHEs and effective measures to prevent disease transmission or limit high risk behaviours;
- maintain the terms of reference for RRT roles;
- maintain a roster or computerized database of the names, titles, education, training, skills, experience, vaccination history and contact details of RRT members;
- obtain approvals for deployment of RRT members for field investigations;
- ensure policies and procedures are in place for immediate disbursement of funds to enable prompt RRT deployment during PHEs and for any other official PHE response activity;
- solicit for supplemental resources from key partners and stakeholders for technical, financial, laboratory, logistical or other needs;

- oversee procurement of emergency materials and pre-positioning of supplies in adequate stock levels at secure and strategic locations;
- work with the relevant ministries to identify PHEs of national importance based on historical trends, latest surveillance information, risk mapping and the WHO and national priority disease thresholds;
- plan and implement emergency preparedness and response training;
- plan periodic simulation activities;
- coordinate after-action reviews to evaluate PHE response;
- implement recommendations from afteraction reviews to strengthen preparedness and response capacity; and
- communicate important developments to the national IHR focal point.

2. Rapid response team

a. Core functions and responsibilities

The core functions and responsibilities of a national or subnational level RRT as detailed in the IDSR Technical Guidelines include:

- investigate rumours and media reports on PHEs of importance, including possible disease outbreaks and other public health emergencies;
- propose appropriate strategies and methods for field investigation of PHEs and disease prevention and control measures;
- organize risk communication activities;
- coordinate response activities with subnational health officials, partners and other agencies during PHEs;
- plan and support RRT training and capacity building;
- prepare detailed reports of field investigations;
- contribute to the final evaluation and the after-action review of each outbreak response (3).

b. RRT membership and deployment

An official database or up-to-date roster of subject-matter experts qualified to carry out the core RRT functions should be created during the preparedness phase. The information should be regularly updated and should include details on the professional qualifications of each individual, formal education, specialized training and level of experience with emergency response, disease surveillance systems and field investigation of disease outbreaks, including supervisory experience. Job responsibilities of RRT members should be drawn up and agreed upon by all relevant technical and political entities prior to RRT member deployment. Deployment of RRT field members to investigate PHEs should be based on the alignment of their field experience and expertise with the core RRT functions needed and assurance that the planned investigation is balanced and scientific. Those selected for an RRT should participate in all relevant meetings and activities.

The EMC works with representatives from relevant ministries to determine the proper number and type of subject-matter experts required for an RRT to conduct the appropriate field investigation based on current information about the type of PHE reported and an assessment of available resources. Following the logic of the One Health multisectoral approach, the recommended membership of an RRT should comprise the following experts at a minimum:

- manager or team leader
- human or animal epidemiologist
- clinician or infection control specialist
- veterinarian or wildlife expert
- environmental scientist or public health officer
- social mobilization expert
- logistician
- laboratory specialist.
- Annex 2 contains descriptions of RRT roles.

Each Member State can develop countryspecific quidelines for RRT membership, which may vary based on the profile of the PHE being investigated _ that is, if the disease has an infectious or non-infectious etiology _ and resource availability. Other specialties may be added to the job descriptions for RRT members provided in Annex 2. EMC leadership may work with partner organizations to ensure that the composition of the RRT includes the necessary expertise. It should be noted that experienced RRT members may be capable of fulfilling multiple core functions. For example, a health or veterinary officer who has experience in dealing with outbreaks and appropriate training is often capable of serving as both the epidemiologist and the RRT leader.

Findings from field investigations, even if preliminary, should be promptly communicated by the RRT leaders to the leadership of the national EMC, which is responsible for sharing the real-time information with officials from the ministries of health and agriculture, the wildlife and environmental agencies, national reference laboratories and other key government institutions and partner organizations. The RRT must also remain in close communication and coordinate work with the local EMC leadership, such as district level representatives, to keep them and agency representatives updated about the scientific findings from the field investigations.

IMPLEMENTING THE THREE PHASES OF THE FRAMEWORK

PHASE I: PREPAREDNESS

Preparedness is the foundation of a Member State's human and institutional capacity to prevent, respond to and manage PHEs. Therefore, one of the key responsibilities of the EMC is to engage with senior decisionmakers to ensure that the resources and the formal legal framework are in place for optimal preparedness. The EMC is also responsible for working closely with individual ministries - respecting their areas of responsibility - to coordinate preparedness planning to ensure early identification of possible disease outbreaks, epidemics or other PHEs and to plan a unified strategy for response.

Owing to the frequency and complexity of disease outbreaks and disasters and the potential risk for terrorism-related PHEs, Member States and ministries of health

are encouraged to establish an emergency operations centre to respond to such PHEs in a coherent, effective and efficient manner. This centre can serve as the health ministry's central location for organizing, coordinating, supporting and managing all aspects of the response and planning efforts to tackle evidence-based PHEs.

The institutions and systems involved in preparedness, in line with the IDSR Technical Guidelines (3) and other relevant documents (15, 16), are described below. Properly maintaining these systems to undertake their activities should facilitate prompt RRT deployment, enhance the capacity to determine the etiology of the PHE being investigated and foster the implementation of appropriate disease prevention and control measures.

EMC

Established by senior decision-makers as a formal government structure based on a legal framework

- Unifying structure that includes representatives from the ministries responsible for coordinated decisionmaking on emergency preparedness and response
- ncludes national reference laboratories, the WHO focal point, multilateral health agencies and key stakeholders
- Has at least one individual for each of its core roles

Continues on the following page >

02 RRT

- individual
- Ensures that training of its members includes conducting of field investigations
- Trains members to work with subnational partners and communities

03 Disease surveillance

systems

04

building

Training and capacity

- Respective ministries are responsible for ongoing, systematic collection and analysis of data for human, animal and environmental health and for providing these data to the EMC
- The EMC is responsible for information analysis and decision-making on preparedness and response to PHEs
- Surveillance systems are operational at national, provincial, district and community levels
- An essential component for prompt identification of PHEs of national importance
- Should include formal mechanisms for real-time sharing of key public health information across sectors and ministries
- Historical information is useful for risk mapping, to identify possible PHEs and to determine disease trends*
- Scenario-based field training or drills are highly useful for preparedness and response
- Recommendations from after-action reviews following each PHE response should be routinely implemented to improve preparedness
- Includes review of relevant scientific articles

PHASE 1

- A group of qualified technical experts available for immediate deployment as required by the EMC
- Maintains a roster or database populated with details of a minimum of one, preferably more, qualified
- for each of its positions
- Provides updated training for each expert on the roster

Event and indicator-based surveillance

Continues on the following page

05 nfection prevention and control	 Standard precautions ⁴, basic infection control, and universal precautions should be routinely applied to protect health workers and patients from infection Existing policies and procedures apply to and should be enforced for all clinicians and staff involved in emergency response and community action National vaccination policies should apply to all situations and people, including clinicians and RRT members If additional technical guidance is needed, technical experts can be sought to provide it The existing infection control policy should be reviewed and gaps addressed 	08 Formal PHI plan	 The EMC should the context-relevant relevant relevant
06 National communication plan	 EMC should oversee the exchange of PHE information among the ministries, the RRT and subnational officials Information sharing by EMC to routinely include WHO Region of forAfrica focal point and key stakeholders Community health education and outreach should include methods of disease prevention and likely factors or behaviours associated with a high risk for exposure to 		 The plan needs to Guidelines (3) The plan uses risk unexpected PHEs This is a national including referent
07 Logistics and finance	 disease EMC needs the authority to control resources such as personnel, materials and equipment and distribution channels to support rapid emergency response to PHEs Policies need to be in place for accountability and for appropriate requirements for per diem, lodging, transportation, personal protective equipment (PPE), medical materials, general supplies and communication devices 	D iagnostic	 Formal agreement laboratory network provide the full r Existing laboratory operating proceed apply to EMC and The laboratories materials for safe internationally
	 A budgetary mechanism for timely release of funds needs to be set up prior to the field investigation stage; A system is needed to oversee procurement and prepositioning of materials Systems need to be in place for rapid acquisition of financial, technical or material support from WHO or other partner to fill gaps Logistical capacities need to be strengthened in line with the requirements of the regional logistical plan⁵ 	10 Research	 Algeria Declarati Operational research activitie not delay or inter Best practices are environmental priors and research
	Continues on the following page >		

ar

ar

- The EMC should to develop a formal country-adapted and context-relevant national plan
- Formal terms of reference describing the responsibilities and qualifications of each RRT member should be prepared as part of preparedness actions
- Response plan should includes methods to process alerts
- Standardized epidemiological and clinical data collection emplates should be provided for use during field nvestigation and response stages
- The plan should be updated based on new information, PHE profile and after-action review recommendations
- The response plan should operationalize the steps identified in the framework
- The plan needs to be aligned with the IDSR Technical
- The plan uses risk mapping and disease trends to identify inexpected PHEs*
- This is a national system of government laboratories, including reference and district level facilities
- Formal agreements are drawn up with international aboratory networks to fill gaps in the national system and provide the full range of diagnostic capacities
- Existing laboratory policies, procedures, agreements, operating procedures, and biosafety and biosecurity norms apply to EMC and RRT activities
- The laboratories provide recommended protocols and materials for safe shipping of samples nationally and
- Algeria Declaration on Research (17)
- Operational research should be designed and activities planned prior to an outbreak
- Research activities should facilitate the work of the RRT and not delay or interfere with the PHE response
- Best practices are required for human subject protection, environmental protection, ethical review board related ssues and research topics

PHASE II: RESPONSE

Phase II deals with the recommended stepby-step activities for the successful conduct of the three sequential stages of a response to a PHE by an EMC or RRT (see Fig. 5 to 7):

- stage l: alert management
- stage 2: field investigation
- stage 3: field response

Details provided in this framework can serve as a recommended checklist for key activities, although the profile of each PHE, as well as the local capacity, will determine to what degree and in what manner each stage will be implemented. Although the activities are presented in approximate chronological order, some may be carried out in parallel.

1. Stage 1: Alert management

Alert management is the first stage in the PHE response process. The objective is to promptly identify PHEs of possible national or international importance. An alert occurs when health information from a formal or informal source reports the presence or likely commencement of a PHE (18). Alerts may be categorized based on whether they have formal or informal sources. An example of a formal source is a routine review of existing public health or livestock health surveillance systems data. An informal source could be the media or a private citizen providing a written or verbal alert report.

The alert management stage aims to verify the occurrence of a suspected PHE, commence a field investigation if necessary and report the details to the next level, as defined by the IDSR Technical Guidelines (3). Box 1 lists criteria that might be useful in gauging the importance of an alert about a possible PHE.

To draw the maximum benefit from this framework, EMC members and stakeholders are encouraged to be knowledgeable of the flow of disease surveillance information in their country, including from formal and informal sources, the private health sector and industry. That way the ministries responsible for the data can use appropriate strategies and methods to promptly identify alerts and manage PHEs, working in coordination with the EMC. Fig. 4 provides an illustration of the flow of "pre-alert" information from a variety of formal and informal sources, its subsequent triage by the responsible ministries to determine the presence or absence of a PHE of potential national importance and the role of the EMC to assess the credibility of an alert and to accurately report the information to the WHO African Regional Office focal point.

Box 1 – Alert criteria useful to determine whether to initiate a field investigation of a PHE

1. Source of the information

Consider the source and the potential validity of the alert as well as the potential for the alert to cause panic. Available epidemiological data

2. Available epidemiological data

Consider the size and health status of the population affected, giving special attention to vulnerable and marginalized groups, the expected or observed rise in mortality or morbidity, and the method transmission or degree of transmissibility of the pathogen.

3. Contextual information

Review the access of the population to local health care delivery systems, and the resources, the level of preparedness and the response capacity of the local authorities. Identify the likely population vulnerabilities, public misperceptions, degree of panic and robustness of the civil society's coping mechanisms. EMCs should also consider the likelihood of concurrent PHEs drawing from the limited local emergency resources.

4. Magnitude, duration and severity of the reported PHE

Establish the magnitude of the PHE based on factors such as the proportion of the population affected or displaced, geographical area and number of districts affected, number of persons hospitalized, number of deaths, case fatality ratio, presence of susceptible or high risk groups and duration of the PHE.

5. Potential risk for international spread

Mode of transmission, disease severity, size of susceptible population, crowding and population displacement may increase the potential of a PHE to extend beyond a locality or a Member State's borders.

6. Political implications of not responding

The PHE might be a risk to the reputation of leaders if response is not prompt, or have the potential to bring about the loss of their credibility or authority, or a threat to social and economic stability.

7. Media interest

Level of interest of the media in the alert, possibility of inaccurate or incomplete information, and the potential for the media reports to result in fear or panic in the population.

PHASE 2

Fig. 4 – Alert reporting and assessment, and PHE management information flow



The activities under alert management have an emphasis on characterizing PHEs and developing standardized clinical and epidemiological case definitions following the IDSR strategy (1).

They involve the following steps:

Fig. 5 – Stage 1 – Alert management activities (5 steps) 👻

Step 1. Ensure the establishment of an alert network during the preparedness phase.

- A process for regular review of information from formal disease surveillance systems
- A mechanism to capture information from informal sources reporting the presence of PHEs of potential national importance
- Regularly reviews information on possible PHE from other agencies, the private sector and digital disease surveillance systems such as Promed
- Has an established and functional system to promptly identify unexpected PHEs of national importance
- Applies a multisectoral and multidisciplinary (One Health) approach
- Develops clinical and epidemiological case definitions and epidemic thresholds and disseminates them for all priority diseases

Step 2. Maintain vigilance

 Regularly monitor formal and nonformal sources of potential PHEs, as credible alerts may not always be obvious. For example, filovirus outbreaks often are initially recognized from an epidemiological link among cases rather than a specific clinical case definition (18, 19).

Step 3. Receive alerts and assess their credibility

- Use standardized criteria to determine if the alerts are credible (see Box l) and recording how and by whom the alert was communicated to the EMC
- Proactively enquire in the community and/or request for more information from sources of alerts when needed

Step 4. Commence coordination of the field investigation once an alert is determined as credible, coordinating the field investigations with other agencies with roles in the investigation

- The EMC and RRT determine the possible public health risks and ensure the relevant stakeholders are informed and are ready to support the field investigation
- WHO, which coordinates international support through regional mechanisms and the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network, may be requested for assistance. It is important that commencement of the field investigations be not hindered by lack of logistical or financial resources if previous scenario-based field and deployment training for EMC and RRT ensured that the management processes needed for effective and prompt multisectoral response were in place.

Step 5. Evaluate

The alert management stage should be regularly evaluated for efficiency and effectiveness (see Annex 4, indicators 2.1_2.3).



2. Stage 2: Field investigation

The field investigation is the second stage of the PHE response process. During field investigation activities, it is important that the national and district EMCs remain in close communication. Deployed RRT members need to work collaboratively with local health officials, clinicians and nurses, as well as community leaders. The priorities for RRTs are (1) to collect the epidemiological information needed to determine such factors as the magnitude or scope of the problem, for example the number of people that are ill, hospitalized or with a fatal outcome due to the illness of interest; the likely mode of transmission; and the high risk groups such as young children or occupations, and (2) to use the available information to implement appropriate disease prevention and control actions.

RRT leadership should coordinate work with local health facilities and laboratory staff to both understand the magnitude and severity of the PHE and ensure that clinical samples are properly collected and transported per appropriate protocol to the laboratories identified earlier on for diagnostic testing and confirmation of the cause of the disease. RRT case-management experts and those trained in infection control and prevention should work closely with local health facility and hospital staff to assure that treatment of patients is optimal, respecting cultural and ethical sensitivities. The field investigation activities cover 10 steps (IDSR Section 4, p. 110-113; 114-117):

Fig. 6 - Stage 2 - Field investigation activities (10 steps)

Step 1. Prepare for fieldwork

- Determine, in coordination with the EMC and the pertinent local authorities what is needed for supplies and commodities and the type of transport to conduct the fieldwork.
- Select appropriate medication and treatment protocols based on the best knowledge on the type of condition or illness and likely mode of transmission as determined by a trained medical doctor.
- Pack field supplies, including diagnostic sampling kits (as recommended by official laboratory protocols), office materials and equipment, communication devices, data collection templates, and data analysis tools such as a laptop computer with appropriate statistical software (see IDSRrecommended packing lists for laboratory supplies [IDSR, Annex 4B, p. 123] and personal protective equipment [IDSR, Annex 4C, p. 124]).
- Ensure availability of appropriate vehicles or other transportation means and funds for field expenses, such as standard per diem for food and lodging during official deployment.
- Include essential medications, the official letter authorizing the travel, useful technical documents, such as IDSR Technical Guidelines, IHR and this framework, and appropriate standard operating procedures and policies.

Step 2. Arrive at the location

- Coordinate actions with local, district, national and regional health and political authorities, and define the roles and responsibilities of each party, ensuring complementarity of the entities' investigative responsibilities.
- Proceed to the geographic location where the PHE of initially unknown etiology is occurring.

Step 3. Conduct a preliminary assessment and develop an initial case definition

- Together with local authorities, review all available sources of information about the affected community, including patient registers at each health facility.
- Use demographic and epidemiological data combined with symptom and clinical data to develop an initial case definition in order to identify persons showing similar symptoms or illness of interest.

Note: All stakeholders should adhere to the initial case definition. Case definitions may be modified once additional information is available (see IDSR Annex 4, Indicator 3.3).

Provide standardized data collection templates, modified as needed from those designed during the preparedness phase.

Step 4. Formulate a hypothesis about the possible cause of the illness or disease

- Based on the data collected, including on symptoms of people with the illness, formulate a hypothesis about the likely mode of transmission of the disease and its most likely etiology, for example if it is caused by an infectious agent, a chemical or a toxin.
- Ensure access to the potential hazard is blocked if the source is local, such as water, food or a particular location.
- Regularly review the latest information about the PHE and modify the hypothesis as appropriate.

Step 5. Collect and send specimens or samples for laboratory diagnosis

- Collect appropriate samples from patients, animals or the environment affected by the PHE, consulting with laboratory and epidemiological experts and following laboratory protocols to confirm the diagnosis.
- Inform the laboratory that samples are being sent (see Annex 5, Indicator 3.1).
- Explain the procedures and reasons for the diagnostic tests to suspected patients and their family, observing cultural norms and, wherever possible, obtain their verbal consent.
- Label each specimen using permanent ink. For each sample include a unique identification number linked to the case patient's name, age, gender and residence.
- Include a log of the specimens in the shipping container and retain one copy with the RRT leader or health facility to ensure that the diagnostic test results will be linked to the correct sampled individuals.

Note: An agreement with private laboratory facilities defining procedures for their support during an emergency field investigation should have been secured during the preparedness phase.

Continues on the following page

PHASE 2

Step 6. Train and educate

- Provide training on proper treatment of patients who are found from the diagnosis to have the illness.
- Train health staff on proper use of disinfectants, sterile techniques and case management as needed.
- Educate local authorities and the population about the risk factors for the disease, methods to limit high risk behaviour and home-based care of ill family members.
- Provide access to essential medications and PPE and related training to local health staff and field investigators.

Step 7. Ensure optimal clinical care

 Ensure that optimal clinical care and early clinical management are provided for those affected by the PHE (see Annex 5, Indicator 3.2), being considerate of cultural and ethical concerns. Optimum patient care is defined as the best possible care available based on the probable and eventual diagnosis of the PHE and the contextual setting.

Step 8. Communicate to EMC and enact support channels

- Communicate the key field investigation findings to the EMC at regular intervals to keep the leadership informed and to ensure that technical and political support channels can be activated and utilized to support the response effort. The EMC should commence IHR reporting (2) at the national level as appropriate.
- Consider requesting an on-site diagnostic laboratory.

Step 9. Prepare a field investigation report

 Write a detailed report to inform all the relevant authorities and stakeholders of the situation at hand. A template for such a report can be found in Annex 6.

Step 10. Identify potential research

 Identify practical research topics that may determine the cause of the illness or contribute to improved disease control, prevention or treatment.

Remember: Ensure that the standards for clinical research and human subject protection are respected. The appropriate study protocol that is approved by the ethical review board must be used and written consent must be obtained for research participation from individuals, who should also be informed about the potential risks, benefits, alternatives and responsibilities of the study prior to enrolling in it. Special provisions will be needed for illiterate participants.

3. Stage 3: Field response

Field response is the third stage of the PHE response process. It aims for coordination and mobilization of resources and personnel to implement an appropriate public health response (2). This stage mainly works to prevent disease transmission and to ensure that optimum patient care is provided. Primary transmission involves human infection through a single or multiple events from a hazardous source, while secondary transmission typically results from a direct contact with a person's infected bodily fluids during the acute phase of their illness or their remains or with contaminated fomites.

Fig. 7 - Stage 3 - Field response activities (3 steps)

Step 1. Scale-up response components

- In no predetermined order of priority, the RRT commences the response components in Fig. 8 or ensures each component is addressed by a relevant entity and activities are aligned with national and/ or international standards
- Components are aimed at preventing exposure to the agent (infectious or non-infectious) responsible for the PHE and ensuring the provision of optimum patient care for those infected/ affected.

Step 2. Maintain response components

 Ensure response are effective and maintained throughout the duration of the event

Step 3. Scale-back response components

- In discussion with multi-sectoral and multidisciplinary stakeholders, EMC and RRT can coordinate to slowly begin to scale-back the response components based on updated epidemiological data
- Remain vigilant as premature scaling back of activities may result in further transmission
- When possible, conduct post-PHE health services restoration, patient follow-up, reporting, and research according to country guidelines

In line with the IDSR strategy (1) the field response comprises the following ll components:

Typically, an RRT remains on the PHE site during the transition from the field investigation to the "full-blown" field response stage. A laboratory diagnosis confirming the cause of the PHE typically triggers the implementation of the more appropriate and effective response activities. Recommended field response activities include regular review of outbreak information to determine whether to scale up, maintain or scale back the level of the response effort (Fig. 7). As a part of these activities, efforts are focused on reducing exposure to the agent causing the illness, whether it is infectious or noninfectious, and providing optimum clinical care, based on the etiological agent identified.

Daily community and multi-sectoral for coordination of response

PHASE 2

Fig. 8 – Field response components

A system, such as contact tracing, is set up for community leaders and members to alert the RRT of suspected cases of the illness of interest. Individuals exhibiting symptoms matching the PHE Epidemiological epidemiological or clinical case definition are referred surveillance to a health facility for clinical assessment and, if appropriate, and case detection hospital admission. • The aim is to identify PHE cases and allow for prompt hospitalization to minimize, when relevant, secondary transmission in the community (see Annex 6, Indicator 4.1). Additional samples may need to be collected from patients or the affected environment during the course of the PHE to guide case management. Individuals displaying symptoms matching the case definition criteria are categorized as suspected cases. Samples may be obtained for laboratory confirmation of the PHE. Patients with laboratory-confirmed negative Case diagnosis test results are discharged and assessed for an alternative illness or remain in the ward and are re-tested if clinical suspicion still remains (19). RRT contributes to discussions about discharge protocols and long-term patient follow-up. The aim is to identify possible incident cases and allow for prompt isolation of patients to stop secondary transmission. The activities include screening hospitalized persons Case detection and outpatients, as well as corpses. in the health facility The general wards refer suspected cases to the specified hospital ward or isolation unit. The RRT should encourage the health facility authorities to operate all normal services unless the PHE response overwhelms the facility capacity and it becomes necessary to suspend or reduce non-essential services during the PHE (Annex 6, Indicator 4.1).

Continues on the following page



- families and the community.

All patients receive optimal care, which is the best possible care available based on the probable diagnosis of a PHE and the contextual setting (Annex 6, Indicator 4.3).

• Case management protocols used are to be based on

For an infectious disease, the RRT designs and constructs an appropriate isolation unit, with the design based on the suspected mode of transmission, and separate areas provided for suspected and confirmed cases.

• The size of the structure should provide adequate room for patient accommodation to avoid cross-contamination.

Transparent, web-like net fencing (20) is erected around the isolation ward, and only a single entrance and exit is provided in order to control patient and staff flow.

The aim is to assist in handling of burials, disinfection and home-based risk reduction for patients, families

 Directly communicate with patients and their families and caregivers about the PHE and the required procedures in order to attain their agreement with the programme and participation, allay fear and anger, reduce patient stigmatization and quell rumours and panic in the

• Ensure relatives of deceased people participate in burial and disinfection activities and adhere to biosafety norms.

 Mitigate misunderstandings about the objectives and the activities of the RRT to improve relationships with patients'

 Depending on the type of event and taking into account the local culture, language and gender-specific issues, provide illiterate persons with appropriate health education and related information.

Continues on the following page

Fig. 8 – Field response components (continued)



Peripheral health facility support

- Train health staff from facilities in the area affected by PHE on case definition, disease surveillance and provision of optimal care and treatment.
- Support for peripheral health facilities will be based on the type of PHE in question.

Information, education, and communication campaign

- Typically undertaken at the beginning of the field response stage, although its planning occurs during the preparedness phase
- Aims to increase, via mass media communication and discussions with community groups and families, understanding of the PHE and response efforts and to encourage assessment and hospitalization of suspected and confirmed cases at health facilities.
- Mitigates fear and anger, reduces patient stigmatization and quells rumours and panic in the community (21, 22) (Annex 6, Indicator 4.2).
- A spokesperson is identified by the EMC and RRT to coordinate communication at the national, district or provincial levels, ensuring that information about the PHE is accurate, timely and available simultaneously at all levels and to the media.
- The communication campaign is dependent on the type of PHE occurring.

 \bigcirc

Safe burial and disinfection

- The RRT works with family members to adapt burial practices to reduce the risk of disease transmission and, where possible, to incorporate innocuous traditional burial rites such as song, dance and use of coffins. For example, families may identify the corpse before burial, carry and lower the coffin into the grave using gloves and fill the grave with earth, but may not touch the corpse.
- Household items suspected to be exposed to the vector are disinfected with a 0.5% chlorine solution, and items such as mattresses and clothing are burnt and replaced.
- The processes for disinfection, burning and replacement of household items are explained to and witnessed by family members to facilitate acceptance of the procedures and stem rumours.
- The nature of the burial and disinfection procedures is dependent on the type of PHE transpiring.⁶

Continues on the following page 🕨

10

Home-based risk reduction



based care.

Infection control in the health facility

- control (23).
- encouraged.
- protective equipment.

Note: Neither patients nor clinical paperwork should be sprayed with the chlorine solution. Patient's hands or feet may be sprayed only with their or their caregiver's verbal consent.

PHASE 1

This procedure is used for individuals who resist hospital-

The patient is advised to stay in one room alone while a designated caregiver is provided with personal protective equipment and trained to take care of the patient. Ideally the caregiver should be healthy and immune-competent and to have been immunized to the disease causing the PHE by vaccination or a past infection. The caregiver should have access to basic hygiene items such as soap and water and appropriate personal protective equipment, based on the cause of the illness. For example a mask is needed if the illness is a respiratory

The patient is followed up daily by a medical professional and a psychologist who ensure adherence to the homebased risk reduction protocol, replenish medical stocks and assess the patient's clinical status. At each visit, the patient is encouraged to accept hospitalization, as home-based care is a provisional measure, with health facility-based patient management as the preferred practice.

The type of home-based risk reduction care is dependent on the type of PHE being dealt with.

Involves the detection and isolation of cases, as well as the use of standard precautions, such as generic infection

 Activities include improving water guality, storage and delivery, as well as creating systems for safe disposal of sharps and contaminated waste.

The number of injections given should be limited when possible, and single use vials and syringes should be

• When an infectious disease is suspected, effective disinfection of the health facility requires spraying the structure and materials with a 0.5% chlorine solution. Hospital staff and RRT members should wear appropriate personal protective equipment, including hoods, goggles, masks, gowns, aprons, gloves (two pairs) and boots.

 Staff should be trained in the correct method of donning, removing and discarding contaminated

PHASE III: MONITORING AND EVALUATION

Monitoring and evaluation involves the systematic collection, analysis and interpretation of data on preparedness and response activities. Stakeholders should use timely, accurate and properly analysed information from monitoring and evaluation to plan, implement and improve the public health strategy. For this framework, monitoring focuses on routine and continuous tracking of EMC and RRT preparedness and response capacities, as well as the efficiency and effectiveness of the response action, including alert management, field investigation and field response. Evaluation will provide periodic assessment of how well EMCs and RRTs meet their objectives, for example the efficiency and effectiveness of the response in reducing the population's exposure to the causal agent, modifying high risk behaviours or providing early, optimal clinical care for those infected (24).

Monitoring and evaluating of emergency preparedness and response capacity is best achieved by using practical indicators that can be measured repeatedly and directly or indirectly over time (24) and that are valid and reliable. Indicators can be expressed as whole numbers, ratios or percentages. Reliability is an estimate of the consistency of the measurement, whereas validity is an estimation of its accuracy.

Indicators are typically categorized as being of either process or outcome nature. Process indicators measure programme and activity performance. Outcome indicators measure how well the EMC or RRT initiative is accomplishing its stated objectives, such as how much by comparison health determinants within a defined population improve over weekly or monthly intervals after the field investigation and response stages.

- 1. AFR/RC48/R2: Integrated epidemiological surveillance of diseases: Regional strategy for communicable diseases. 48th Session of the WHO-AFRO Regional Committee for Africa: Final Report AFR/RC48/15, Held in Harare, Zimbabwe, from 31 August to 4 September 1998; Page 5-6. Information available at: http://afrolib.afro.who.int/RC/en/AFR_RC48.pdf (accessed 26
- 2. International Health Regulations (2005), 2nd ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2005. Information available at: http://www.who.int/ihr/about/en/ (accessed 24 January 2014).

January 2014).

- 3. Technical guidelines for Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response in the Africa Region, 2nd ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010. Information available at: http://www.afro.who.int/ en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/integrated-disease-surveillance(accessed 24 January 2014).
- 4. Rapid risk assessment of acute public health events. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012. Information available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2012/WHO_HSE_GAR_ARO_2012.1_eng. pdf (accessed 24 January 2014).
- 5. WHO/AFRO Report: One Health Meeting in the African Region. Okoumé Palace Hotel Libreville, Gabon. 12-14 November 2012. Available at: http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/ dpc/epidemic-a-pandemic-alert-and-response/epr-highlights/39ll-one-health-meeting-inthe-african-region.html
- Zamfara, Nigeria, 2010. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 2010, 59(27):846. http://www. cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5927a3.htm?s_cid=mm5927a3_w (accessed 28 January 2014).
- a historically mercury-contaminated site in KwaZulu-Natal (South Africa). Environ Sci Pollut Res, DOI 10.1007/s11356-011-0458-8.
- 8. Aginam O. International law and communicable disease. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2002, 80:946_951.
- and altruism. American Journal of Public Health, 1988, 88:738_741.
- Health Organization, 2008 (http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/foodborne_disease/ fdbmanual/en/index.html, accessed 28 January 2014)
- II. CDC. Diagnosis and management of foodborne illnesses: a primer for physicians and other health care professionals. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 2004, 53(RR04):L33 (http:// www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5304al.htm, accessed 28 January 2014).

REFERENCES

6. CDC. Notes from the field: outbreak of acute lead poisoning among children aged <5 years _

7. Chavon R. Williams & Joy J. Leaner & Vernon S. Somerset & Jaco M. Nel Mercury concentrations at

9. Yacht D, Batcher D. The globalization of public health II: the convergence of self-interest

10. Foodborne disease outbreaks: guidelines for investigation and control. Geneva, World

- Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, et.al. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 2008 Feb 2l; 451(7181), 990-994. doi:10.1038/nature06536 Abstract available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18288193
- Rubin C, Myers T, Stokes W, et. Al. Review of Institute of Medicine and National Research Council recommendations for One Health initiative. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2013 Dec;19(12):1913-7. doi:10.3201/eid1912.121659.Abstract available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24274461
- FAO/OIE/WHO/UNICEF/UNSIC/World Bank. Contributing to One World, One Health: a strategic framework for reducing risk of infectious diseases at the animal-human-ecosystems interface. Rome, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2008 (ftp://ftp.fao. org/docrep/fao/011/aj137e/aj137e00.pdf, accessed 29 January 2014).
- WHO checklist for influenza pandemic preparedness planning. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2005 (www.who.int/influenza/resources/documents/FluCheck6web.pdf, accessed 29 January 2014).
- Communicable disease surveillance and response systems: a guide to planning. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2006 (whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2006/WHO_CDS_EPR_LYO_2006_1_eng. pdf, accessed 28 January 2014).
- 17. WHO/AFRO: The Algiers Declaration: Ministerial Conference on Research for Health in the African Region. ISBN: 978 929 023 1103. WHO Regional Office for Africa, 2009.
- Communicable disease alert and response for mass gatherings: key considerations. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2008 (www.who.int/csr/Mass_gatherings2.pdf, accessed 29 January 2014).
- Roddy P, et al. Filovirus hemorrhagic fever outbreak case management: a review of current and future treatment options. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2011, 204:S791_S795.
- 20. WHO/AFRO: The Algiers Declaration: Ministerial Conference on Research for Health in the African Region. ISBN: 978 929 023 1103. WHO Regional Office for Africa, 2009.
- Formenty P, et al. L'epidemie de fievre hemorragique a virus ebola en Republique du Congo, 2003: une nouvelle strategie? [Outbreak of Ebola hemorrhagic fever in the Republic of the Congo: a new strategy?] *Medecine Tropicale*, 2003, 63:291–295.
- Roddy P, et al. The Médecins Sans Frontières intervention in the Marburg hemorrhagic fever epidemic, Uige, Angola, 2005. II. Lessons learned in the community. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2007, 196(Suppl. 2):S162_S167.
- 23. Reference: "Infection control for Viral Haemorrhagic Fevers in the African Health Care Setting"; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1998; Pages 12-17. Available online at: http://www. who.int/csr/resources/publications/ebola/WHO_EMC_ESR_98_2_EN/en/
- 24. WHO. Overview of the WHO framework for monitoring and evaluating surveillance and response systems for communicable diseases. *Weekly Epidemiological Record*, 2004, 79(36):321_328 http://www.who.int/wer/2004/wer7936/en/index.html (accessed 28 January 2014).



Annex 1 – IDSR core functions and activities by health system level

	COMMUNITY
ldentify	 Use simple case definitions conditions or other hazards
Report	 Report essential information or hazards to health facility a
Analyze and Interpret	 Involve local leaders in obse disease patterns, events and Undertake verbal autopsies
Investigate and confirm	 Support event investigation
Respond	 Assist local authorities in sel Ensure the community seek and danger signs of disease Participate in response active Mobilize resources appropring Carry out community health
Communicate (feedback)	 Give feedback to community and prevention activities
Evaluate	Verify if public health intervVerify the community response
Prepare	 Participate in disaster or emer committees Participate in risk mapping Conduct community-based Manage eventual contingen Participate in training, inclu

ANNEXES

to identify priority diseases, events, in the community

on on priority diseases, events, conditions v and appropriate authorities

serving, describing and interpreting ad trends in the community s on causes of deaths

activities

electing response activities ks care immediately in cases of emergency e, events and conditions ivities including home-based care oriate for the activity at hand th education for behaviour change

ty members about reported cases, events

eventions were undertaken as planned onse to the public health action

ergency preparedness and management

of potential hazards

- surveillance
- ncy emergency stock
- iding simulation exercises

Annex 1 – IDSR core functions and activities by health system level (continued)

	HEALTH FACILITY
ldentify	 Use standard case definitions to detect, confirm and record priority diseases or conditions Collect and transport specimens for laboratory confirmation If possible, use local laboratory capacity to confirm or initiate
Report	 Report case-based information for immediately notifiable diseases Report summary data to the next level Report laboratory results from screening of sentinel populations Report laboratory results to the next level
Analyze and interpret	 Prepare and periodically update graphs, tables and charts to provide information on time, person and place for reported diseases and conditions From the information analysis, immediately report any disease or condition that: exceeds an action threshold occurs in locations where it previously was absent presents unusual trends or patterns Interpret results in planning action and initiate public health actions with local authorities
Investigate and confirm	 Take part in investigation of reported outbreaks Collect, package, store and transport specimens for laboratory confirmation
Respond	 Manage cases and contacts according to standard case management guidelines Take relevant control measures
Communicate (feedback)	 Communicate with community members about outcomes of reported cases and prevention activities
Evaluate	 Assess community participation Conduct self assessment on surveillance and response activities Monitor and evaluate programme targets and indicators for measuring the quality of the surveillance system Monitor and evaluate programme timeliness and completeness of reporting from health facilities in the district Monitor and evaluate timeliness of response to outbreaks Monitor and evaluate prevention activities and modify them as needed
Prepare	 Participate in disaster or emergency preparedness and management committees Participate in rapid response training Conduct risk mapping of potential hazards Conduct training of the community Participate in simulation exercises

	DISTRICT, STATE, PROVINCE
Identify	 Collect surveillance data from points of entry, in a timely m Ensure a reliable supply of d available at the reporting site Collect and transport specime If possible use local laborator
Report	 Make sure health facilities kn reporting priority diseases a Make sure health facility staf diseases and conditions Report data on time to the ne Report laboratory results to t Periodically conduct risk ass conditions or hazards
Analyze and interpret	 Define denominators and en Aggregate data from health f Analyse data by time, place a Periodically update graphs, t diseases, events and condition Calculate PHE rates and three Compare current data with p Make conclusions about tren Describe risk factors for price
Investigate and confirm	 Arrange and lead investigati Assist health facilities in ensurant transport of laboratory s Receive and interpret laboration Decide if the reported outbre Report the confirmed outbre Distribute specimen collection
Respond	 Select and implement appropont the disease. For example conduct immunization, or im Convene an epidemic response of the conduct training for emerge Phe Conduct training for emerge Plan timely community infor Alert neighbouring areas and
Communicate (feedback)	 Alert nearby areas and distri Give health facilities regular and prevention activities Provide feedback on surveill

om reporting sites, including designated nanner and review their quality data collection and reporting tools is tes

mens for laboratory confirmation ory capacity to confirm cases

know and use standard case definitions for and conditions aff know when and how to report priority

lext level

the next level

ssessment for priority diseases, events,

nsure their accuracy

facility reports

and person

, tables and charts to describe reported

ions

esholds

previous data

nds, thresholds and analysis results iority diseases or conditions

tions of reported diseases or outbreaks suring safe collection, packaging, storage specimens for confirmatory testing

atory results

reak is confirmed

eak to the next level

ion kits for special surveillance activities

opriate public health response depending e plan to strengthen case management, mprove control and prevention activities onse committee and plan a response to the

rency activities rmation and education activities nd districts about the confirmed outbreak

ricts about the outbreak r, periodic feedback about routine control

illance and data quality findings

Annex 1 – IDSR core functions and activities by health system level (continued)

	DISTRICT, STATE, PROVINCE (CONTINUED)
Evaluate	 Monitor and evaluate programme targets and indicators for measuring the quality of the surveillance system Conduct regular supervisory visits
Prepare	 Participate in disaster or emergency preparedness and management committees Conduct training and simulation exercises for staff Conduct risk mapping of potential hazards Support and conduct health facility-based surveillance Develop and manage contingency plans Put together and support a rapid response team Document response activities

	NATIONAL LEVEL
Identify (continuous on the next page)	 Develop, update guidelines and ensure compliance with national policy and guidelines Develop policies and procedures for the national laboratory networks, including quality assurance systems Use national laboratories for confirmatory and specialized testing if necessary Collect and transport specimens for additional analysis at WHO collaborating centres as necessary
Report	 Report immediately notifiable diseases and events to the appropriate authorities Report other priority diseases and events on time Include all relevant laboratories in the reporting network Use the IHR decision instrument to determine risks for priority diseases, events, conditions or hazards Inform WHO as indicated in IHR (2005)
Analyze and interpret	 Set policies and procedures for analysing and interpreting data Analyse and interpret data from a national perspective Regularly convene meetings of the technical coordinating committee to review the analysed and interpreted data before wider dissemination Carry out special analyses to forecast magnitude and trends of priority disease events
Investigate and confirm (continuous on the next page)	 Ensure guidelines and standard operating procedures for outbreak investigations are available at all sites Coordinate and collaborate with international authorities as needed during investigations Alert laboratories and support their participation Provide logistic support for acquisition of supplies, equipment, reagents, specimen transport media, health promotion budget etc.

	NATIONAL LEVEL (CONTINUED)
Investigate and confirm (continued)	 Share information with regional and international networks about confirmed outbreaks Use the decision instrument to decide whether the outbreak is a potential PHEIC Process specimens from investigations and send timely results as required to each level Request additional specimens as needed Participate in the epidemic response team
Respond	 Develop policies and procedures for responding to cases and outbreaks of priority diseases and conditions Support epidemic response and preparedness activities including deployment of rapid response teams Report and disseminate results of outbreak response in bulletins and the media using press releases and briefings
Communicate (feedback)	 Develop and regularly distribute a regional bulletin on epidemiology and public health Give districts regular, periodic feedback about routine PHE control and prevention activities Release information quickly in a transparent manner and listen to the affected community Document provision of appropriate and timely feedback
Evaluate	 Regularly monitor IDSR and laboratory core indicators Conduct outbreak investigations following after-action reviews Support annual monitoring of IHR core capacities Conduct regular IDSR review meetings Conduct regular supervisory visits
Prepare	 Develop policies, procedures and training strategies for reporting priority diseases, conditions and events at each level Adapt and distribute risk mapping guidelines Adapt and distribute guidelines for disaster or emergency preparedness plans Develop messages for community education Develop and manage contingency plans Organize and support national rapid response teams Develop and organize simulation exercises Establish and maintain a national public health emergency command and operation centre
	NATIONAL WHO REPRESENTATIVE, WHO REGIONAL OFFICE

	NATIONAL WHO REPRESENTAT
Identify	 Develop and disseminate ger Document and share IDSR be Provide technical support to confirmation of priority disea Inform countries about health priority for the statement of the statement of

eneric guidelines for PHE surveillance best practices

the national level for detection and

eases, conditions and events

problems that may cross borders

Annex 1 – IDSR core functions and activities by health system level (continued)

	NATIONAL WHO REPRESENTATIVE, WHO REGIONAL OFFICE (CONTINUED)
Identify	 Coordinate support from the international reference laboratory network and from centres of excellence
Report	 Collect and compile reports on outbreaks and international notifiable diseases and events Produce annual regional profiles or situation reports for priority diseases, conditions and events
Analyze and interpret	 Develop and disseminate standard guidelines for analysis of data for each priority disease Provide technical support to the national level to improve capacity for data analysis
Investigate and confirm	 Upon request, provide support to countries for investigation or assessment of outbreaks, diseases or events Provide support for coordination of laboratory participation during investigations Provide support for risk assessment using the IHR decision instrument
Respond	 Coordinate and support response activities by the Strategic Health Operations Centre, technical experts, virtual rapid response teams etc. and for preparation of guidelines, SOPs etc. Mobilize resources and facilitate partnerships among Member States or institutions
Communicate (feedback)	 Provide feedback on collaboration with national and regional levels Disseminate risk-communication guidelines Share information with partners and stakeholders
Evaluate	 Use reports from countries to assess IDSR systems and advocate for improvements Develop, update or revise guidelines and tools for IDSR and IHR monitoring and evaluation Promote, guide and support operational research
Prepare	 Mobilize resources for training, logistics and supervision Develop, update or revise guidelines for disaster or risk management Maintain and update a roster of experts for rapid response teams Develop, update or revise training programmes for IDSR and IHR implementation Maintain a public health emergency command and operations centre

Annex 2 – Institutional RRT members' minimum core skills and responsibilities

RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences required	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Manager or team leader	 Relevant management or team leadership experience with PHEs, including in outbreak response Experience with disease surveillance and response to PHEs Ability to engage technical and political entities within the national government Demonstrated leadership qualities Field experience in surveillance or risk management and response Scientific reputation in the subject matter of expertise Ability to advocate for and mobilize resources from technical and political bodies 	 Maintain communication with and work in coordination with multisectoral institutions identified by the EMC as sources of RRT membership Ensure that both technical and political mechanisms respond appropriately to a PHE Oversee the technical activities of each RRT member Assume responsibility for assessing RRT preparedness and response capabilities, working with the RRT epidemiologist to determine the indicators from recommendations Oversee testing of the functionality, agility and resilience of the RRT through scenario-based field training at least once every six months once a PHE has been recognized With the epidemiologist, oversee the management and interpretation of all RRT- generated data. Manage the biannual updating of the country- adapted and context-relevant PHE response plan Lead the writing of a concise, accurate monthly RRT report providing details on the alerts received, deemed credible, found to have infectious or non-infectious etiology, and responded to by the RRT, with their corresponding timeframes 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences required	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Epidemiologist (continuous on the next page)	 Postgraduate degree in epidemiology or equivalent experience in a field epidemiology training programme Proven field experience in responding to PHE outbreaks 	 Investigate and analyse epidemiology of clusters of suspected, probable or confirmed illnesses for factors of time, place, person and mode of disease transmission, as well as to determine the etiology of a PHE Establish or strengthen active disease surveillance activities and follow up on case contacts With the manager or team leader, oversee the determination and interpretation of recommended indicators Working with the team leader, support mobilization of field teams for rapid outbreak assessment or investigation Evaluate the current alert and response systems, including existing case definitions Supervise data management, analysis and interpretation Lead the planning of the strategy and methods to determine the etiology of the illness during a PHE Ensure clear routine reporting of key information on PHEs to the EMC at national, district or provincial level Liaise with international partners in the field as directed by the EMC to facilitate field investigation and response 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences required	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Epidemiologist (continued)		 Identify the factors in the occurrence of the PHE in question Update and share surveillance data with the rest of the team Set in motion mechanisms to break the contamination chain for infectious diseases Provide guidance on risk prevention in the field Share emerging data with team members on a regular basis and determine the need for surveillance and response 	
Clinician or infection control expert (continuous on the next page)	 Medical or nursing university degree Field experience in effective clinical case management during PHEs Referral system skills Clinical experience in infection control, training of professionals in infection control measures, and implementing and evaluating infection prevention and control practices 	 Directly support proper case- management and provision of optimum care for cases in health facilities and the community Provide guidance on clinical and epidemiological case definitions Collect robust demographic, treatment, and patient monitoring data for improved clinical response to PHEs Assess infection control practices in health care facilities in affected areas Provide guidance on the necessary infection control equipment for central, provincial and district-level hospitals and facilities during a PHE Review and, where appropriate, recommend changes to update infection control guidelines based on lessons learnt at health care facilities during PHEs 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

and responsi	ibilities (continue	d)		members	skills and	PHE pre
RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details	Veterinarian	experiences required • University	monitor
Clinician or infection control expert (continued)	required	 Conduct appropriate on-site infection prevention and control training for staff at provincial or district hospitals after reviewing local infection control measures Coordinate work with all the response teams Report on findings of investigations and assist the RRT or international team and national authorities to formulate a plan of action, and participate in after-action reviews 			 degree, preferably an advanced degree in field epidemiology Experience in animal health, particularly disease detection and reporting Solid background in zoonoses Mastery of diseases 	and a zoom samj Man for a Over of bi requ Lead and i even affec
Social mobilization expert	ocial • University • Undertake rapid appraisals obilization degree to understand perceptions,	 Name Job title Organization Contact details 		affecting wildlife and aquatic animals	 Colleptor heal Collaheal Collaheal Guid mea vect Asset for a the e cont 	
			Wildlife specialist (continuous on the next page)	 University degree in a wildlife science or a closely related field Experience in planning, managing, coordinating, monitoring and evaluation of wildlife related projects. 	 Estal path Defin betwand lipara syml Know to un main Estal agen and i biod Know (symptotic symptotic symptet symptotic symptotic symptotic symptotic symptotic symptet s	

finimum responsibilities for HE preparedness, response and nonitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Create a list of materials and equipment required for zoonoses, particularly for sampling Manage diagnostic activities for animal diseases Oversee the application of biosecurity measures if required Lead information analysis and investigation in the event of suspected outbreaks affecting animals Galvanize and strengthen surveillance of animal hazards Collect available data to produce needed animal health information Collaborate easily with public health partners Guide epidemic control measures for animal disease vectors Assess household practices for animals to maximize the effectiveness of disease control efforts	 Name Job title Organization Contact details
Establish the precise pathogenic agents Define the relationship between pathogenic agents and host and the environment: parasitism, commensalism, symbiosis Know pathogenic agent roles to understand how they are maintained in nature Establish the role of pathogenic agents in nature conservation and in the preservation of biodiversity Know wild animal pathology (symptoms, etc.)	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

Minimum core

Minimum

RRT

RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences required	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Wildlife specialist (continued)		 Ensure proper expertise about bush meat and safe butchering, handling and preparation Protect food against rats and other creatures Determines animal pathogenic agents Determines the relationship between different disease factors: vectors, reservoirs, hosts Behaviour of pathogens responsible for diseases Ensure nature conservation and biodiversity preservation Knowledge of wild animal pathologies Bush meat control Food control 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details
Anthropologist (continuous on the next page)	 University degree in medical anthropology Extensive experience in developing, implementing and evaluating medical anthropological policies, procedures, and tools in low-resource settings Experience in PHE response and emergency situations 	 Work with community leaders and in collaboration with the RRT leader to better understand local cultural attitudes to the PHE, and to identify beliefs and practices, includingbehaviours that may amplify or help control the PHE Identify and incorporate local beliefs and practices into patient care and PHE response efforts Contribute to the design and conduct of ecological and epidemiological studies as appropriate Investigate social and anthological issues that would promote better understanding of community perception of the PHE 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences required	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Anthropologist (continued)		 Investigate cultural and social norms within the communities that will contribute to developing better rapport and trust Support documentation of the anthropological aspects of the PHE 	
Environmental health specialist Eco- toxicologist, specialised in basic sciences (chemistry, biology, physics, mathematics)	 Environmental quality surveillance Food chain surveillance Environmental risk assessment of disease exposure 	 Conduct environmental investigations of etiological factors related to disease risk Lead the work on prevention of diseases related to the environment, that is, "sound the alert" for such diseases 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details
Logistician (continuous on the next page)	 University degree or equivalent level of education in supply chain management, emergency response logistics, or PHE response logistics 	 Ensure logistical support is provided for preparedness and investigation of, and response to, PHEs for each discipline or technical area, such as transport for patient samples for laboratory diagnosis Maintain stockpiles of essential materials such as personal protective equipment for use during the investigation or response to a PHE 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

RRT	Minimum core	Minimum responsibilities for	Personal and
members	skills and experiences required	PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	professional details
Logistician (continued)	 Field experience in logistical operations for responding to infectious disease outbreaks or other public health emergencies 	 Identify strategic storage points for supply stocking to support the response Provide guidance on logistics and supply chain management at all levels Provide logistical support for tracking shipment of samples to identified laboratories Ensure administrative procedures are observed during field operations and address financial management and human resource issues Manage finances when relevant Look after logistical communication devices Identify and collaborate with local resource persons at the PHE location Develop a response data base Coordinate team security 	
Occupational health specialist or doctor Industrial hygienist Toxicologist Local inspector from the health, hygiene and environmental committee	 Monitor and inspect employee health in the workplace Monitor and inspect workplace conditions Assess the risk of exposure to chemical substances in the workplace Surveillance and inspection of security regulations in the workplace 	 Participate in setting up preventive measures for protection of employees and the work environment, such as medical protocols and injury prevention processes Ensure ongoing surveillance in the workplace 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

RRT members	Minimum core skills and experiences required	Minimum responsibilities for PHE preparedness, response and monitoring and evaluation	Personal and professional details
Laboratory specialist' (1 to 2)	 University degree in microbiology, biology or a related laboratory science Field experience in the interaction of laboratories and surveillance activities 	 Provide guidance in establishment of an operational system for safe and appropriate collection, packaging and transport of samples from the field to the reference laboratory Develop standard operating procedures for participation of laboratories in investigation and laboratory confirmation of PHEs Set up systems for efficient linkage of laboratories and epidemiology teams Work in close coordination with response teams to enhance national, regional and international laboratory networks to allow efficient laboratory identification of epidemic-prone diseases and public health risks 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details
Financial officer* (continuous on the next page)	 University degree Previous finance experience in a ministry of health 	 Take responsibility for application of appropriate principles of accounting and finance to support deployment of the RRT during a PHE Assure RRT members understand the rules and requirements for deployment- related payments and reimbursements Organize for rapid replenishment of funds when required Organize for petty cash for staff deployed to the field Ensure that all financial transactions processed during out-of-office hours are recorded and cleared on the first subsequent day of business Clear all financial documents 	 Name Job title Organization Contact details

 * These positions are suggested, but not absolutely required in the RRT.

47

Financial officer* (continued)	 Monitor cash flow and work with counterparts on cost sharing for relevant activities
	 Determine the alert threshold for financial and logistical needs
	 Coordinate technical aspects of deployment of staff to field operations
	 Develop an organizational chart, working with the team leader

Annex 3 – Preparedness and response capacity indicators

The following recommended key indicators will facilitate EMCs and RRTs in the WHO African Region to measure and evaluate their preparedness capacity for a PHE. Only data generated by the EMC and RRT should be used. All indicators are to be reported monthly, unless stated otherwise.

Number	Indicator	Numerator/denominator**
1.1	Percentage of RRT member positions filled by a professional who fulfils all required core qualities (per Annex 2)	Number of RRT member positions filled by a professional who fulfils all of the required core functions (see Annex 2), divided by the total number of RRT member positions, both filled or not yet filled
1.2	The number of scenario-based field training events conducted by the EMC or RRT	NA (not applicable) It is essential that EMC or RRT preparedness training be conducted and evaluated at least once every six months.
1.3	The EMC or RRT has developed and written a country-adapted and context-relevant response plan for PHEs	NA (not applicable) It is essential that the response plan be reviewed at least once every six months and, if necessary, revised.

Annex 4 – Alert management indicators

The following recommended key indicators will facilitate EMCs and RRTs in the WHO African Region to measure and evaluate the timeliness and effectiveness of their management actions for PHE alerts. Only data generated by the EMCs and RRTs under the supervision of its manager or team leader and the epidemiologist should be used. All indicators are to be reported monthly, unless stated otherwise.

Number	Indicator	Numerator/denominator**
2.1	Percentage of alerts received by the EMC or RRT that it deems credible	Number of alerts received by the EMC or RRT that it deems credible, divided by total alerts received by the EMC or RRT
2.2	Percentage of alerts received by the EMC or RRT that the it deems credible and that are later determined to be associated with an infectious disease	Number of alerts received by the EMC or RRT that it deems credible and that are later determined to be associated with an infectious disease, divided by the total alerts received by the EMC or RRT that it deems credible
2.3	Proportion of alerts deemed credible by the EMC for which field investigations start within 48 hours of being considered credible	Number of alerts received by the EMC that it deems credible for which an RRT field investigation is started within 48 hours of being considered credible, divided by total alerts received by the EMC that it deems credible and for which an RRT field investigation is started.

48

Annex 5 – Field investigation indicators

The following table of recommended key indicators may facilitate RRTs in the WHO African Region when measuring and evaluating the timeliness and effectiveness of the RRT's field investigation of PHEs. Only data generated from the RRT, overseen by its manager/team leader and the epidemiologist, will be used. All indicators are to be reported monthly, unless stated otherwise.

Number	Indicator	Numerator/Denominator**
3.1	Percentage of samples sent to a diagnostic laboratory identified by the RRT during the preparedness phase that were sent following the laboratory's sample-shipping protocol	Number of samples sent to a diagnostic laboratory identified by the RRT during the preparedness phase that were sent according to the laboratory's sample shipping protocol, divided by total samples sent to the diagnostic laboratory identified by the RRT during the preparedness phase
3.2	Percentage of PHE patients who the RRT confirmed were receiving optimum care during the field investigation stage	Number of PHE patients who the RRT confirmed were receiving optimum care during the field investigation stage, divided by total PHE patients identified during the field investigation stage <i>Note:</i> Optimum patient care is defined as the best possible care available based on the probable and eventual diagnosis of the PHE and the contextual setting under which the patients are hospitalized.
3.3	Percentage of PHE patients whose epidemiological and clinical data the RRT found recorded by the health facility personnel during the field investigation stage	Number of PHE patients whose epidemiological and clinical data the RRT found recorded by health facility personnel during the field investigation stage, divided by the total PHE patients attended to by the health facility personnel during the RRT field investigation stage
3.4	Were local authorities involved in the field investigation? (yes or no?)	NA (not applicable)

Annex 6 - Field response indicators

The following recommended key indicators may facilitate RRTs in the WHO African Region to measure and evaluate the timeliness and effectiveness of their field response to PHEs. Only data generated by the RRT under the guidance of its manager or team leader and the epidemiologist should be used. All indicators are to be reported monthly, unless stated otherwise.

Number	Indicator	Numerator/Denominator**
4.1	Percentage of confirmed PHE patients detected by the epidemiological surveillance system who receive appropriate clinical care or hospitalization within 48 hours of PHE confirmation	Number of confirmed PHE patients detected by the epidemiological surveillance system who receive appropriate clinical care or hospitalization within 48 hours of PHE confirmation, divided by total confirmed PHE patients detected by the epidemiological surveillance system <i>Note:</i> Early identification of PHE patients allows for prompt hospitalization and minimization of secondary transmission in the community.
4.2	Number of PHE IEC campaign sessions the RRT conducts at the PHE site during the field response stage for either the affected community or health personnel or both	NA (not applicable) <i>Note:</i> IEC campaign sessions increase understanding of the PHE and acceptance of the response, and encourage health facility-based assessment and hospitalization for suspected and confirmed cases. Moreover, IEC sessions mitigate fear and anger among family members, reduce patient stigmatization and quell rumours and panic in the community.
4.3	As assessed by the RRT, the percentage of identified PHE patients who receive optimum patient care at any time during the field response stage	Number of identified PHE patients who receive optimum patient care at any time during the field response stage, divided by the number of identified PHE patients <i>Note:</i> Optimum patient care is defined as the best possible care available based on the probable and eventual diagnosis of the PHE and the contextual setting under which the patients were hospitalized

50

Annex 7 – A field investigation report template

Use this template to prepare your field investigation report. Please copy and paste it into a new Microsoft Word document to help you provide the required information and format your report.

REPORT FOR RESPONDING TO AN INVESTIGATION OF A PUBLIC HEALTH EVENT OF UNKNOWN ETIOLOGY

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investigation, indicating whether or not a public health event (PHE) of unknown etiology is currently transpiring. Include the name(s) of the villages, towns, districts and country involved. Where possible, provide population numbers for each.
- Describe the clinical presentation of the patients as assessed by patient registrars, local 2. health authorities or family or friends.
- 3. Describe the clinical presentation of the patients as assessed by the RRT clinician.
- Provide a descriptive epidemiology of the PHE. All data should be described and categorized by 4. person, place and time and presented with analyses and interpretation.
 - a. Patient's demographic details' summary (i.e. age, gender, occupation, town or village of residence)
 - b. Number of cases and deaths (reported by epidemiological week)
 - c. Incidence rate (reported by epidemiological week) Incidence rate is defined as the number of new cases of a disease that occur during a specified period in a population at the risk of developing the disease during the same specified period of time.

Incidence rate = Total number of persons who developed a certain illness during a specified time period, multiplied by k (1000 or 10 000), and divided by number of persons at risk of a certain illness in specified time period

Case fatality ratio (CFR) (reported by epidemiological week)

d. Case fatality ratio is an incidence proportion. It is the proportion of people among those who develop a disease who proceed to die from it. It does not measure the development of the disease but rather death from the disease. The CFR should be used as a description of the proportion of people who succumb to an infectious disease.

- people who developed the illness
- f. Attack ratio (reported by epidemiological week) of the population affected by the PHE of unknown etiology until the present time.

Attack ratio = Number of people at risk of a disease who develop the illness, divided by the number of people at risk of the disease

- 5. Provide the proposed and preliminary epidemiological and clinical case definition(s) agreed on by the RRT and local health authorities.
- 6. Include a map of the affected area, with village locations of the identified cases and deaths.
- 7. Describe how the PHE might be transmitted and the population potentially at risk.
- transmission of the PHE of unknown etiology.
- 9. Provide a security assessment, including information about the affected area's context, security, airports, rivers, lakes and roads.
- 10. Describe the availability, usage and needs for all resources, including staff, cold chain equipment, vehicles, fuel, medical materials and drug stocks.
- ll. List the names and locations of the diagnostic laboratories where the samples were sent.
- monitoring laboratory, and if so, what concrete steps are being taken to ensure that such a laboratory is obtained.
- 13. Describe the current planning, action and potential next steps of the RRT.
- 14. Describe the factors that demonstrate the capacity of the local health authorities to safely and effectively treat the infected patients and bury the dead.

e. Case fatality ratio = Number of people who die from a certain illness, divided by number of

Attack ratio is a type of incidence rate used for acute diseases. It measures the proportion

8. Describe the RRT's proposed response activities to control the primary and/or secondary

12. State whether or not the RRT would like to request for an on-site diagnostic and patient

- 15. Recommend whether or not specialists not currently part of the RRT are needed to be sent to the PHE location, such as medical toxicologists, medical psychologists, chemical or hazardous material specialists, etc., to satisfy the One Health approach requirements.
- 16. Provide the disease chronology information if it is available:
 - a. Date the initial alert was received by the epidemic management committee, rapid response team, or equivalent body (dd/mm/yyyy):
 - b. Date of arrival of the rapid response team at the location (dd/mm/yyyy):
 - c. Date of laboratory confirmation of the etiology of the PHE (dd/mm/yyyy):.....

This report was prepared on (dd/mm/yyyy):

Please provide your name and contact details, writing clearly and preferably typing them in or using block letters. This report was written by:

l. Name:	
Institution and position:	
Phone:	
2. Name:	
Institution and position:	
Phone:	
3.Name:	
Institution and position:	
Phone:	

Annex 8 – Participants in WHO African Region beta-testing workshops

Uganda workshop

African Field Epidemiology Network Dr Olivia Namusisi CDC, Atlanta, Georgia Mr Mark Austin CDC Uganda Jeff Borchert, PhD; Robert Downing, PhD; Trevor Shoemaker, PhD **Central Public Health Laboratories** Mr Atek Kagirita **Civil Aviation Authority** Mr Christopher Mondi and Mr Okello Thomson CTPH Mr Samuel Mutebi, Gladys Kalema-Zikusoka, DVM **FAO** headquarters Katinka DeBalogh, DVM **Kibaale District** Mr Stephen Byaruhanga Ministry of Agriculture, Animal **Industries and Fisheries** Ms Rose Ademun, DVM; Mr Deo Ndumu, DVM Ministry of Public Health Dr Francis Adatu, Dr Byamukama Agaba, Ms Christine Akumu, Dr Immaculate Ampeire, Dr Deborah Atai, Dr Julius Bamwine, Mr Winyi Kaboyo, Dr Amone Jackson Kaka, Dr Dan Kyamanywa, Mr Luswa Lukwago, Ms Lilian Luwaga, Dr Henry Luzze, Dr Issa Makumbi, Mr Mugagga Malimbo, Mr Okumu Morris, Mr Fred Mulabya, Dr Robert Musoke,

Ms Rukia Nakamatte, Dr Esther Namukose, Dr Christopher Oleke, Dr Batesaki Roger, Dr James Sekajugo, Dr Victor Tugumizemu, Dr Patrick Tuslime and Dr Joseph F Wamala MSF Mr Elzein Elsiddig, Ms Jackie Ouire Ronit Mulago National Referral Hospital Dr Doreen Nabaawanuka Arison, Dr Titus Beyeza, Dr Joyrine Biromumaiso Kasoma, Dr Julius Muron, Dr Janet Nakigudde, Dr Muhwezi Wilson OPM Mr Raymong Kirungi Uganda Viral Research Dr Barnabas Bakamutumaho, PhD; Julius Lutwama, PhD; Luke Nyakarahuka, PhD; Uganda Wildlife Authority Patrick Atimnedi, DVM UPDF Dr Henry Kyobe URCS Mr Anyika Morris USAID/EPT/RESPOND Project Mr Georges Bakgongo; Ms Nina Maiwand; Ms Rose Nauma; Ms Sandra Butler Ruth Walkup, PhD; **USAID** Uganda Thomas Easley, DVM WHO Uganda country office Dr Charles Okot, Mr Ben Sensasi WHO headquarters Tamara Curtin Nemi, PhD

Democratic Republic of Congo workshop, 2013

Médecin du Monde Dr Patric Lunzayiladio Ministère de la Défense Nationale Colonel Bokolombe Ministère de la Santé Publique Dr Theophile Bokenge, Dr Leopold Lubula, Dr Mualuka, Dr Jean Jacques Muyembe, Dr Dieudonne Mwamba, Dr Antoine Okitandjate, Dr Robert Shongo Ministère de l'Agriculture et du Développement Rural Hubert Abokibe, DVM; Justin Masumu, DVM; Florent Ngamuna, DVM; Mabela N'Lemba, DVM Ministère de l'Environnement, Conservation de la Nature et du Tourisme Kazadi Kazadi Mujkay, DVM; Matata Ngirabose, DVM Ecole de Santé Publique, Université de Kinshasa Prof Liesse Iyamba, Prof. Prince Kimpanga (OHCEA), Prof. Jean Malakani, Prof. Okito, Niveau Provincial Dr Bosomba Impate (Boende), Dr Edmond Mulamba (Kasai Occidental), Dr Louis Elefo (Kasai Occidental), Dr Faustin Etonye (Katanga), Dr Isabelle Lumbwe (Kinshasa), Dr Musafiri Ndemere (Maluku) UNHCR Robert Anunu USAID EPT Programme Dr Joseph Atibu USAID EPT RESPOND Project/ Ecology & Environment Louise Flynn, PhD